

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/669,969	KORSUNSKY ET AL.
	Examiner Larisa Z. Tsukerman	Art Unit 2833

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Larisa Z. Tsukerman.

(3) _____.

(2) Wei Te Chung.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-10

Prior art documents discussed:

Cosmo (4477133), Hikami et al. (4846729), Buck et al. (5069627)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Larisa Tsukerman

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendment dated 02/24/05 did not place the application into condition for allowance because claim language still reads on the applied references. Attorney agreed with Examiner's proposed amendment to cancel claims 1-5,7 and 10 in order to define over the Prior Art and make the claims unobvious over the Prior Art references.